Cross-Linked Networks of Stiff Filaments Exhibit Negative Normal Stress
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Motivated by recent experiments showing that a variety of stiff biopolymer gels exhibit highly unusual negative normal elastic stresses, we simulate networks of elastic rods over a wide range of concentrations and bending stiffness. In all cases, we find that sheared networks develop significant negative normal stresses that coincide with other elastic nonlinearities, including shear stiffening and compressive bucking. The threshold strain for normal stress in these athermal networks increases with both concentration and stiffness, in contrast with prior predictions for thermal networks. This may provide an experimental test for entropic vs enthalpic effects in such networks.
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Networks of semiflexible polymers such as those that make up the cytoskeleton of plant and animal cells have been shown to have rich mechanical and rheological properties. One of the hallmarks of their mechanics is a highly nonlinear elastic response to stress and strain [1–6], including dramatic stiffening under shear. A striking example of such nonlinearity has been the recent demonstration in such systems of highly unusual negative normal stresses—e.g., in which a sample will tend to contract along an axis perpendicular to the direction of shear [7]. Normal stresses, in general, are a nonlinear phenomenon, since their sign cannot depend on the direction of shear, for symmetry reasons. But most materials tend to expand when sheared, as has been known at least since the classic experiments of Poynting 100 years ago [8], in which he showed that elastic rods extend axially when twisted. Another familiar example of this is the tendency of granular materials to dilate when sheared, as can be seen by the fact that wet sand tends to dry out around our feet when we walk on the beach. Few materials have been found to develop negative normal stresses. Liquid crystalline polymers [9], nanotubes [10], and emulsions [11] are examples of such systems, which show rather weakly negative normal stresses in a range of applied shear rates. By contrast, semiflexible polymer networks exhibit negative normal stresses that can become comparable in magnitude to the applied shear stress. Interestingly, this is observed in the elastic response of such networks.

It was previously shown theoretically that thermal effects can lead to negative normal stress (NNS) effects due to the asymmetric force-extension relation of semiflexible polymers [7]. Simulations also recently showed large NNS in an athermal network of elastic rods [12], suggesting that purely mechanical effects can also lead to NNS. Here we simulate networks of stiff rods over a wide range of network concentration and polymer stiffness. We find that such athermal networks very generally exhibit NNS that can be comparable to or larger than the corresponding shear stresses, depending on network structure and the strain applied. Although both entropic and enthalpic networks can exhibit NNS, their predicted dependence on both network density and filament stiffness are opposite, providing a possible experimental way to distinguish the importance of entropic vs enthalpic effects.

We construct model networks as follows. A number of straight filaments of length L and random orientation are deposited on a W × W square. Every time two filaments cross, they are linked together by a free hinge. Filaments that cross the upper or lower boundary are rigidly attached to the boundary and dangling ends are removed, while periodic boundary conditions are applied to the left and right edges of the square. The deposition stops when the desired concentration is reached. We characterize this concentration by the ratio L/ℓc, where ℓc is the average distance between cross-links along a filament. The network is refined by adding midpoints between cross-links along each filament. Using an energy functional depending on both cross-links and midpoints, we implement a discrete wormlike chain approximation for every filament. For any consecutive pair of points, we consider the stretching energy δHstretch as a function of the distance ℓ and the initial distance ℓ0 via a stretching stiffness μ:

\[ \delta H_{\text{stretch}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left( \frac{\delta \ell}{\ell_0} \right)^2 \ell_0. \] (1)

The bending energy is evaluated for every pair of consecutive segments along a filament:

\[ \delta H_{\text{bend}} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left( \frac{\delta \theta}{L} \right)^2 L', \] (2)

where \( \delta \theta \) is the angular deflection of one segment relative to the other, \( L' \) is the average length of the two segments, and \( \kappa \) is the bending stiffness. The total energy is the sum of these energies over the entire network. Network shear is performed by displacing the upper boundary with respect to the lower one, followed by a minimization of the elastic energy over the unconstrained internal coordinates (cross-links and midpoints) using a nonlinear conjugate gradient method.
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In Fig. 2 are shown the normal and shear stresses \( \sigma_N \) and \( \sigma_S \), respectively, for a single network \( (L/c) = 13 \) in response to an imposed strain \( \gamma \) for various bending stiffnesses \( \kappa \). The shear response initially depends linearly on strain and eventually stiffens weakly, while the normal response is negative (the network wants to contract) and depends initially quadratically on strain. For an ensemble-averaged network with isotropically oriented filaments, the normal response must, by symmetry, be an even function of the shear deformation. Finite size effects, however, result in a small violation of this for any specific network studied. The shear stress increases with increasing \( \kappa \), while the magnitude of the normal component exhibits the opposite trend. This behavior is qualitatively consistent with the previous suggestion that the normal stresses arise from the asymmetry of the extensional response of single filaments [7], since stiffer filaments are expected to bend less [15,16] and the energy in Eq. (1) is symmetric. Increased bending and eventual buckling lead to an increasingly asymmetric extensional response, with softening under compression [12].

In Fig. 3(a), we plot the shear modulus \( G = \sigma_S/\gamma \) and differential shear modulus \( K = d\sigma_S/d\gamma \) normalized by the affine linear modulus \( G_{\text{AFF}} = \pi \kappa \mu L^2 \), which corresponds to purely compression or extension deformation without bending filaments [15]. This represents an upper bound for \( G \) and \( K \) at small strains, since bending modes can only lower the energy for given boundary conditions. For these small strains, a linear regime with constant \( K = G = G_0 \) is seen in all cases, while nonlinearities such as stiffening and/or softening occur at higher strains. The linear modulus \( G_0 \) increases with both density and bending stiffness in agreement with previous work [15–17]. Specifically, \( G_0 \propto \kappa \) for floppy filaments, while \( G_0 \) saturates to \( G_{\text{AFF}} \) for stiff filaments (inset) or high densities.

In Fig. 4, we directly compare the shear and normal stresses, by plotting \( \sigma_N \) vs \( \sigma_S \), both of which have been normalized by \( G_{\text{AFF}} \). For stiffer filaments we see an approximate quadratic dependence, which is to be expected by symmetry: Since \( \sigma_N \) must be an even function of strain, it is thus expected to have an initial quadratic dependence for small strain, where \( \sigma_S \) is expected to be approximately linear in strain. Interestingly, for more flexible filaments, this quadratic regime, though present, shrinks and an approximately linear dependence \( -\sigma_N \approx \sigma_S \approx \gamma \) is observed above a small threshold strain, where the two stresses are of comparable magnitude. A regime with comparable magnitude shear and normal stress was reported for a simulated network in Ref. [12], but the expected small-strain regime with quadratic NNS dependence was not apparent. The crossover we observe from quadratic to linear NNS with strain is consistent with what was found experimentally and theoretically in Ref. [7]. This behavior can be understood in simple terms

![FIG. 1](color online). A portion of a typical network for \( \gamma \approx 0.16 \). Red indicates stretched segments, while blue indicates compressed ones. Filaments oriented near 45° are stretched, and those near 135° are compressed.

![FIG. 2](Raw shear (solid line) and normal (dashed line) stresses vs strain for different bending stiffnesses.)
flexible filaments, which can be tested experimentally. Our results suggest that the crossover from quadratic to linear NNS behavior occurs for smaller strains with more flexible filaments, which can be tested experimentally.

To test this more directly, we plot the ratio $-\sigma_N/\sigma_S$ vs $\gamma$ in Fig. 5. Here we see that the small-strain regime, characterized by $\sigma_N \sim \gamma^2$ and $\sigma_N/\sigma_S \sim \gamma$, decreases with increasing flexibility of filaments or decreasing network concentration. Interestingly, this is opposite to the predicted behavior for thermally fluctuating networks in Ref. [7]. Thus, the normal stresses may provide an experimental signature for thermal vs nonthermal systems. We also observe an apparent convergence of all networks for increasing strain, to a regime in which the two stress components are comparable. As the filaments become softer to bending, we observe a peak, with normal stresses exceeding shear stresses for small strains. Reference [7] reported normal stress that exceeded the shear stress in some cases. Subsequent experiments [18] find a peak similar to that of Fig. 5.

As argued in Ref. [7], one possible origin of negative normal stresses can be the asymmetric extensional response or force-extension relation of the constituent filaments, in which both $\sigma_S$ and $\sigma_N$ are dominated by the stretched filaments oriented near 45° to the strain direction [7]. Our results suggest that the crossover from quadratic to linear NNS behavior occurs for smaller strains with more flexible filaments, which can be tested experimentally.
ments. Even though the individual filaments in our model are assumed to be Hookean springs, with a symmetric force-extension curve, the fact that they can bend and buckle effectively gives rise to a softer response to compression and an asymmetric force-extension relation [12]. To test whether this can explain our results, we measure the relative importance of buckling with an order parameter $B$ defined by $\frac{d\epsilon_c}{d\gamma}/\frac{d\epsilon_c}{d\epsilon_0}$. Here the numerator refers to the incremental change in the elastic compression energy of the filament segments under compression in the network for a small-strain step $d\gamma$, evaluated as a function of the initial state of network strain $\gamma$. The denominator refers to the incremental change in total elastic energy. This is normalized by its initial, small-strain value, where no buckling is expected. Thus, this order parameter should have an initial value of unity and should then decrease as filaments begin to buckle because this relieves their compression energy. We plot this in Fig. 3, along with both $K/G_{AFF}$ and $-\sigma_N/\sigma_S$ for several different networks of the same density, but with differing bending stiffness. We see that the onset of significant buckling, indeed, coincides with the onset of nonlinearities in the rheology. Furthermore, classical Euler buckling theory predicts that the threshold force for buckling is proportional to $k$. By plotting both $B$ and $-\sigma_N/\sigma_S$ vs $\sigma_S/k$, we see collapse of the curves for various $k$ (see insets), consistent with the dominant role of buckling in controlling the development of large normal stresses. In Fig. 3(d), we plot $B$ for various $L/\ell_c$, and we see that the curves collapse (inset) by plotting against $\sigma_S\ell_c^3$, confirming that the buckling order parameter actually accounts for the collective buckling of inter-cross-link segments [19].

Here we have calculated the component of stress in the shear gradient direction that, as discussed in Ref. [7], is appropriate for normal stress measurements in the elastic limit of low frequency, where the network is expected to be compressible. The NNS in semiflexible networks can be qualitatively understood in terms of the nonlinear and asymmetric force-extension relation, which can result either from thermal effects [7,20] or from Euler buckling of the filaments under compression [12,17]. This can explain, in part, the apparent generality of NNS reported for a number of different stiff biopolymer systems [7], which should span thermal and athermal systems. Furthermore, our results suggest a possible way to distinguish experimentally between alternative thermal [2,3,20] vs athermal [12,17] origins of nonlinear elasticity in biopolymer networks: We find that the characteristic strain for the onset of negative normal stress increases with network concentration and filament stiffness, both of which are in contrast with the prediction for thermal networks [7]. Although we have focused on isotropic networks, anisotropy can also occur in vivo or can result from sample preparation in vitro.

In general, anisotropy is expected to result in shear (normal) stresses that are no longer (anti)symmetric in strain, meaning that both positive and negative normal stress can be expected. Thus, normal stress measurements may provide a good experimental test for isotropy.
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[19] For a rod of length $\ell_c$, the buckling force $f_{crit} \sim k/\ell_c^2$, for which the macroscopic stress is proportional to $f_{crit}$ times the flux of filaments crossing the surface $\sim 1/\ell_c$, from which we obtain $\sigma_{crit} \sim k/\ell_c^2$.