

# Contents

- 1 Introduction** **1**
  
- 2 Some concepts in Game Theory** **7**
  - 2.1 Asymmetric Nash Bargaining Solution . . . . . 7
    - 2.1.1 Formal description of the two person bargaining problem . . . . . 7
    - 2.1.2 Nash bargaining solution . . . . . 7
    - 2.1.3 Asymmetric Nash bargaining solution . . . . . 8
  - 2.2 Coalitional Bargaining Game . . . . . 9
    - 2.2.1 The Coalitional Bargaining Game . . . . . 9
    - 2.2.2 Markov Perfect Equilibria . . . . . 11
      - 2.2.2.1 Strategies and Equilibria . . . . . 11
      - 2.2.2.2 Immediate Formation of the Grand Coalition . . . . . 13
  - 2.3 Internal Stability . . . . . 16
  - 2.4 Appendix with proofs of Chapter 2 . . . . . 18
  
- 3 Asymmetric Nash Solutions in Trans-boundary River Sharing Problems** **21**
  - 3.1 Introduction . . . . . 21
  - 3.2 Model specification . . . . . 24
  - 3.3 Legal principles defining property rights . . . . . 27
    - 3.3.1 Absolute Territorial Sovereignty . . . . . 28
    - 3.3.2 Unlimited Territorial Integrity . . . . . 28
      - 3.3.2.1 Strict UTI . . . . . 29
      - 3.3.2.2 Individual aspiration levels . . . . . 30
  - 3.4 The ANBS in the river sharing problem . . . . . 31
    - 3.4.1 The bargaining solution . . . . . 31
    - 3.4.2 Decomposition of the computation of the ANBS . . . . . 34
    - 3.4.3 The political economy of property rights . . . . . 37
  - 3.5 The asymmetric Nash rationing solution . . . . . 38
  - 3.6 Two numerical examples . . . . . 40
    - 3.6.1 Example 1: two agents . . . . . 41
    - 3.6.2 Example 2: three agents . . . . . 41
  - 3.7 Conclusion . . . . . 43
  - 3.8 Appendix with proofs of Chapter 3 . . . . . 45

## CONTENTS

<b>4</b>	<b>International Environmental Agreements for Trans-boundary River Sharing Problems</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	49
4.2	The Model . . . . .	52
4.2.1	The River Sharing Problem . . . . .	53
4.2.2	Streams of payoffs . . . . .	54
4.2.3	The Three-agent Coalitional Bargaining Game . . . . .	55
4.3	Markov Perfect Equilibrium . . . . .	57
4.4	Main results for the V-shaped river sharing problem . . . . .	61
4.5	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	67
<b>5</b>	<b>Damming Trans-boundary River Basins: A welfare analysis</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
5.2	The model . . . . .	72
5.3	The disagreement and joint cooperation scenarios . . . . .	75
5.4	Partial cooperation scenario . . . . .	76
5.5	A case study: Mekong River . . . . .	79
5.5.1	Background . . . . .	79
5.5.2	The disagreement scenario . . . . .	83
5.5.3	The joint cooperation scenario . . . . .	83
5.5.4	The partial cooperation scenario . . . . .	83
5.6	Concluding remarks . . . . .	84
5.7	Appendix of Chapter 5 . . . . .	87
<b>6</b>	<b>Competition in two-sided market</b>	<b>93</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
6.2	Monopoly platforms . . . . .	94
6.3	Duopoly platforms with single-homing agents . . . . .	96
6.3.1	The duopoly model . . . . .	97
6.3.2	An example . . . . .	98
6.4	Competitive bottleneck platforms . . . . .	99
6.4.1	Linear within-group externalities . . . . .	102
6.4.2	Quadratic within-group externalities . . . . .	102
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>106</b>
	<b>A Derivations of Chapter 4</b>	<b>113</b>
	<b>Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)</b>	<b>127</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>131</b>