

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT

Empirical studies on tax incentives and labour  
market behaviour

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad Doctor aan  
de Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,  
op gezag van de rector magnificus  
prof.dr. V. Subramaniam,  
in het openbaar te verdedigen  
ten overstaan van de promotiecommissie  
van de School of Business and Economics  
op dinsdag 9 oktober 2018 om 13.45 uur  
in de aula van de universiteit,  
De Boelelaan 1105

door

Nicole Martina Bosch  
geboren te Arnhem

promotoren: prof.dr. P.W.C. Koning  
prof.dr. B. van der Klaauw

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research methods . . . . .	2
1.2 Previous findings on labour supply and taxes . . . . .	5
1.3 Brief overview thesis . . . . .	9
1.4 Thesis outline . . . . .	9
<b>2 Analyzing Female Labour Supply - Evidence from a Dutch Tax Reform.</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	16
2.2 The Dutch tax reform . . . . .	18
2.3 Empirical model . . . . .	22
2.4 Data . . . . .	25
2.4.1 Sample . . . . .	25
2.4.2 Impact of tax reform on wages and participation . . . . .	28
2.5 Estimation results . . . . .	31
2.5.1 Parameter estimates . . . . .	31
2.5.2 Model simulations . . . . .	34
2.5.3 Sensitivity analyses . . . . .	36
2.6 Conclusions . . . . .	38
<b>3 Income and Occupational Choice responses of Self-Employed to Tax Rate Changes: Heterogeneity across Reforms and Income Levels.</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	45
3.2 Tax reforms . . . . .	48

3.3	Methodology . . . . .	50
3.3.1	Canonical ETI method of Gruber and Saez (2002) . . . . .	50
3.3.2	Alternative Weber instruments . . . . .	54
3.3.3	Occupational choice . . . . .	54
3.4	Data . . . . .	56
3.5	Income responses . . . . .	62
3.5.1	Results . . . . .	62
3.5.2	Heterogeneous responses . . . . .	63
3.5.3	Sensitivity checks . . . . .	66
3.6	Occupational choice . . . . .	69
3.6.1	Results . . . . .	69
3.6.2	Sensitivity checks . . . . .	70
3.6.3	Discussion of the results . . . . .	71
3.7	Conclusions . . . . .	73
3.A	Appendix . . . . .	75
3.A.1	Additional graphs and tables . . . . .	75
3.A.2	Regression output . . . . .	78
3.A.3	Literature overview . . . . .	87
<b>4</b>	<b>A Data-Driven Procedure to Determine the Bunching Window: An Application to the Netherlands.</b>	<b>93</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	95
4.2	Methodology . . . . .	97
4.2.1	Bunching . . . . .	97
4.2.2	Extension . . . . .	98
4.2.3	Evaluation . . . . .	102
4.3	Institutional background . . . . .	106
4.4	Data . . . . .	109
4.5	Results . . . . .	110
4.5.1	Bunching evidence . . . . .	110
4.5.2	Subgroup analysis . . . . .	114
4.5.3	Anatomy of response . . . . .	117
4.5.4	Relation to the literature . . . . .	119
4.6	Concluding remarks . . . . .	121
4.A	Appendix . . . . .	123
4.A.1	Additional graphs and tables . . . . .	123

<b>5 Who bears the burden of Social Security Contributions in the Netherlands? Evidence from Dutch administrative data</b>	<b>131</b>
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	133
5.2 Institutions . . . . .	135
5.3 Data . . . . .	138
5.4 Estimation . . . . .	144
5.4.1 McCrary test . . . . .	145
5.4.2 Polynomial test . . . . .	146
5.5 Empirical results . . . . .	147
5.5.1 Gross earnings . . . . .	147
5.5.2 Labour costs . . . . .	148
5.5.3 Net earnings . . . . .	151
5.5.4 Explanations employing a bargaining model . . . . .	152
5.6 Conclusions . . . . .	155
5.A Appendix . . . . .	157
5.A.1 Figures . . . . .	157
5.A.2 McCrary test . . . . .	157
5.A.3 Polynomial test . . . . .	158
<b>6 Summary and conclusions</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)</b>	<b>173</b>